# SIGNS OF PROGRESS: THE STATE OF THE CANNON AND STRAIGHT RIVERS











#### Dear Reader,

Clean water. So vital to our lives and something we all value. At the Cannon River Watershed Partnership we envision a time when the waters of our area are healthy, when it is safe to swim in all the lakes and rivers, when we can eat fish without worry, enjoy a canoe trip free from garbage in the river, and all drinking water is clean. In order to achieve this vision, it is important for the people who live and recreate in this area to understand some information about the water, land and wildlife, to get out on the water and to take action to improve the water. This document is our attempt at providing some of that information and sparking your interest in getting involved.

Many good things have happened in the last fifty years. In 1958 a memo from the DNR indicated the Cannon River by Faribault was uninhabitable for fish due to industrial pollution of the water. The river has come a long way since then. We no longer discharge raw municipal sewage to the rivers, industrial facilities treat their discharge to limit pollution, cities are doing a better job with street runoff, and farmers are working to improve their practices to protect the water. There are signs of progress such as the comeback of the Bald Eagle and some of the streams and lakes showing improvements. There is still a long way to go in some areas and we hope you will be our partner in working toward making the needed changes a reality.

Special thanks to the Water Resources Center at Minnesota State University Mankato for compiling much of this document and to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency for providing the funding to make it happen.

Beth Kallestad Executive Director Cannon River Watershed Partnership

#### February 2011

#### **Contact Information**

Cannon River Watershed Partnership 8997 Eaves Ave. - Northfield, MN 55057 Phone: (507) 786-8400 - Fax: (507) 789-8390 Email: staff"@"crwp.net

Project Team Cannon River Watershed Partnership Beth Kallestad, Aaron Wills, Lucas Bistodeau, Leslie Kennedy

Minnesota State University, Mankato Water Resources Center Kimberly Musser, Scott Kudelka, Richard Moore Nicole Rietz, Sonika Sainju

Funding provided by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Project Manager: Justin Watkins





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intro letter	2
Cannon River Watershed Overview	4
Trends	
Water Quality Monitoring	5
Streams and Rivers	6
Lakes	7
Fish	8
Mussels	10
Dams	12
Eagles	13
Current Water Quality Concerns	
Impaired Waters	14
Sediment (Dirt)	15
Nutrients	16
Bacteria	16
History	
Human History	17
Timeline	18
Flooding	19
Water Quality	20
Restoration Success Stories	21
Get Involved	23
Acknowledgements	24
References	-1 24
nererences	<u>∠4</u>



The two main rivers in the Cannon River watershed are the Cannon and Straight. The Cannon River originates in Shields Lake and flows west before turning south and then east as it goes through Waterville, and on to Faribault. The Straight River begins in Oak Glen Lake south of Owatonna. It flows directly north and joins the Cannon at Faribault. From Faribault, the Cannon flows north through Northfield, and finally turns east. It travels through the Byllesby Reservoir and Cannon Falls and finally drains to the Mississippi River just north of Red Wing. The Cannon River watershed covers 946,440 acres and includes parts of six counties—Steele, Rice, Goodhue, Le Sueur, Dakota, and Waseca.



#### What is a watershed?

A watershed is the land area that drains water to a body of water such as a particular stream, river, or lake. It is a land feature that can be identified by tracing a line along the highest elevations between two areas on a map, often a ridge. A watershed is like a funnel – collecting all water within the drainage area and channeling it into a water body.

#### Monitoring the River's Condition

The purpose of monitoring is to provide assessments of the current status of the lakes, streams, and rivers in the Cannon River watershed with respect to water quality standards and goals, identify problem areas, and detect changes in water quality with time.

#### Monitoring

State and federal agencies, watershed staff and citizens all perform water quality monitoring across the Cannon River watershed.

# Citizen Monitoring

The Cannon River Watershed Partnership organized its volunteers to participate in the MPCA's Citizen Stream Monitoring Program (CSMP) and Citizen Lake Monitoring Program (CLMP) in 1999 to monitor the watershed's lakes, rivers, and streams. For rivers and streams, volunteers take transparency tube (water clarity) and stream gage readings along with other water quality measurements. Lake monitors lower a secchi disk (shown on page 7) into the lake to measure water clarity.

The data that citizen monitors collect is extremely valuable in understanding the long term trends and changes in our water. Currently there are about 60 citizen monitor volunteers in the watershed. Due to the large number of streams and lakes in the watershed, CRWP is always looking for motivated and interested citizens willing to participate in its citizen monitoring program.

# Monitoring Season and Methods

Monitoring season length is typically April 1 (or ice out) through September 30. This period typically captures the months when the majority of flow occurs and when nutrient and sediment loads are expected to be the highest.



Monitoring with transparency tube



B.J. Norman, a citizen monitor with transparency tube

# Get Involved: Become a Citizen Monitor

Help better understand your watershed by measuring a number of water quality parameters on an area lake or river. To Learn More, see the "Get Involved" section:

- Cannon River Watershed Partnership
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Stream and Lake Monitoring Programs



John Van Burgen, a citizen monitor collecting samples from Spring Creek

The map below illustrates that many streams and rivers in the Cannon River watershed have become clearer since the MPCA started its citizen stream monitoring program. Trends results show: 15 streams with increasing clarity, 3 with decreasing trends, and 9 with no trends. The increased clarity is likely due to decreasing erosion and sediment in the watershed. Currently, there is not enough data to show if nutrients and bacteria levels are increasing or decreasing in our streams and rivers. As more data is collected in the coming years we will be able to see if these pollutants are decreasing as well. See pages 14-16 for more information on current pollution problems.



The map above illustrates trends in stream and river transparency data collected across the Cannon River watershed by Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's Citizen Stream Monitoring Program. Trend analyses were performed using transparency tube data over available years of data.

enough data to show if nutrient (phosphorus) levels are increasing or decreasing in our lakes. As more data is collected in the coming years we will be able to see if nutrient levels are decreasing. Cannon River Watershed Lake Transparency Trends Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's Citizen Lake Monitoring Program Secchi DiskTransparency Trends ÍN D N Secchi Disk Water Quality Trends Lake Monitoring Sites (Monitoring Years - All available data between years) 1 Beaver (1997-2008) 14 Hunt (1995-2008) Improving Lake Transparency 2 Byllesby (1992-2008) 15 Kelly (1993-2008) Declining Lake Transparency Cannon (1989-2008) 16 Loon (1992-2008) 3 • 4 Cedar (1979-2008) 17 Mazaska (1992-2008) No Trend Circle (1983-2008) 18 Middle Jefferson (1991-2008) 5 Clear (1985-2008) 19 Roberds (1987-2008) 6 7 Dudley (1992-2008) 3 20 Shields (1993-2008) East Jefferson (1987-2008) 21 Swede's Bay (1997-2008) 8 9 Fish (1996-2008) 22 Tetonka (1986-2008) 10 Fox (1970-2008) 23 Upper Sakatah (1998-2007) 11 Frances (1987-2008) 12 French (1978-2008) 

The map below illustrates that there are mixed results for lake transparency trends over the past 30 years. Nine lakes show lake clarity increasing, 8 lakes show lake

clarity decreasing, and 9 show no trends. Like with streams and rivers, there is not

The map above illustrates trends in lake transparency data collected across the Cannon River watershed by Minnesota Pollution Control's Citizen Lake Monitoring Program. MPCA selected all available Secchi Disk data from STORET, the US EPA's national water quality database. The statistical package Systat was used to perform the Seasonal Kendall test to determine whether the data for each lake exhibited increasing or decreasing trends.

13 German (1985-2007)

26 West Jefferson (1987-2008)

#### Historical Overview

Water quality in the Cannon River Watershed has markedly improved since the 1930s and 1950s when the streams were characterized by fish kills and pointsource pollution problems from sewage and industrial waste. Prior to the1930s the



Cannon and Straight rivers were known for their smallmouth bass fishery. In 1937 there were two large fish kills due to pollution from canning operations, one by pumpkins and the other with corn waste being directly discharged into the rivers. Owatonna was the first city to build a wastewater treatment plant in 1939 while Faribault didn't get one until 1954, after a citizen vote defeated the proposal in the 1930s.

In 1958, the Department of Conservation (Today's MN DNR) examined pollution on the Cannon River at Faribault. According to Donald Woods of the DNR, "there had been repeated instances of pollution since 1956 even with the installation of a municipal sewage treatment plant. This pollution has made the river unfit for most fish, particularly Small Mouth Bass. Occasionally, pollution gets so bad that oxygen depletion results and fish are killed."

Fish surveys were conducted in the 1970s and 1980s by the DNR on both the Cannon and Straight Rivers through the use of electro-fishing, which temporarily stuns the fish to allow them to be collected and counted. These surveys recorded a diverse selection of fish including northern pike, walleye, rock bass, black crappies and black bullheads. The reports noted a number of water quality concerns including sediment runoff causing turbid waters and point-source pollution still being a concern.

#### Present Condition

Gamefish in the Cannon Fish are associated with healthy rivers and have historically been studied as biological indicators. Today, both the Cannon and Straight Rivers have high fish diversity. On the Straight River, 35 to 40 species of fish have been documented, with the Cannon River 42 species above Lake Byllesby and 47 species respectively below the dam. There are four trout streams in the watershed, one of which (Rice Creek a.k.a. Spring Brook) has a natural population of brook trout that the DNR uses to help restock other streams in the state. The DNR stocks walleye, northern pike, smallmouth bass, and trout in various parts of the watershed.

In September 2010, the DNR conducted fish surveys on the Cannon River between Pine Creek and Trout Brook. DNR staff were impressed with the diversity, number and size of fish they saw including smallmouth bass (17 to 19 inches), walleyes (20 to 30 inches) and brown trout (up to 20 inches). They also recorded decent numbers of channel catfish along with plenty of rough fish like suckers, redhorse, carp and

River and Tributaries Walleye Bluegill Largemouth Bass Smallmouth Bass Channel Catfish Northern Pike **Brown Trout** Brook Trout



sheepshead. On the other hand, there were few panfish like <sup>14 lb 10 oz Carp (Cyrpinius carpio)</sup> caught by Justin Watkins on the Cannon River crappies and bluegills.

One of the more recent surveys was conducted on Cannon Lake (1,591 acres west of Faribault) in August of 2009. They found an abundant walleye population along with yellow perch, white bass and white sucker. The survey also recorded 22 channel catfish with no observations of largemouth bass (Haggerty, 2010).



#### HISTORICAL SNAPSHOT

Northern Pike and the Straight River in the 1980s Northern Pike were the most important

gamefish in the Straight River in 1980s. DNR fisheries report stated that it will be critical



in future years to protect what little access to spawning areas remains for this species. Channelization and wetland drainage permits in particular should be examined closely to ensure future habitat destruction does not take place.

A 1983 DNR Fish Survey stated "Agricultural land use of the watershed has caused degradation of stream physical characteristics and extremes in discharge fluctuations. Channelization and drainage of adjacent wetlands has severely restricted access for spawning norther pike to these areas. Municipal pollution from the City of Owatonna degrades water quality downstream for several miles and may be the major limiting factor for gamefish in this reach. Water quality probably limits overall gamefish abundance in this stream."



# **Designated Trout Streams Brook and Brown Trout**

Introduction of non-native brown trout into many streams in the watershed have made the region a hotspot trout fishery. Many of the spring-fed tributaries of the Cannon River from Cannon Falls to the Mississippi are prime habitat for brown trout.

Rice Creek (a.k.a Spring Brook), one of the regions only naturally reproducing trout streams, contains brook trout (near Dundas/ Northfield, MN).



Trout spawning bed Signs of Progress: The State of the Cannon and Straight Rivers



Brook trout



**Trout Brook** 

#### What are Mussels?

Mussels are a member of the Mollusks, the second largest group of animals in the world and can be found on every continent except Antarctica. As a freshwater organism, mussels live in permanent bodies spending their entire life partially or completely buried on the bottom of rivers and lakes. North America supports the largest number



of mussels at close to 300 species with 48 here in Minnesota. Of those species, 25 are listed as endangered, threatened, or of special concern and two considered to have been extirpated.

#### **History of Mussels**

Before the start of Euro-American Settlement in the 1850s, the Cannon River Watershed supported a diverse mussel fauna. At least 25 species were once found in the Lower Mississippi River of Minnesota according to D.L. Graf. Over time the diversity and population of mussels have been severely impacted by a number of factors. Three of the major factors involve the transformation of the natural landscape to one dominated by agriculture, construction of dams and the harvesting of mussels for the commercial shell industry at the beginning of the 20th Century. By the 1940s, it was considered a rarity to find a mussel in the Cannon River Watershed.

IMEL	INE	
904	Northfield News article complained about the mess left by pearl seeking "clam (mussel) hunters."	
1920s	Residents of the Cannon Falls area remember the Cannon River being paved with clams (mussels).	
1930s	Clammers or mussel hunters regularly harvested and shipped mussel shells to supply the button industry.	
1940s	Finding a living mussel becomes a rarity, casualties of the depression and the economic opportunity shell sales offered its victims.	
987	Mike Davis of the MN DNR's Nongame Wildlife Program conducts a comprehensive mussel survey of the watershed. A total of 1,344 live mussels representing 15 species were found at 61 sampling stations located across the Cannon River Watershed.	
998	A mussel survey of 9 sites is conducted on the Cannon River and tributaries by Michael C. Swift of St. Olaf College and Gary E. Wagenbach of Carleton College.	
2008-10	The Minnesota DNR maintained a monitoring station on the Cannon River	

Mussel images: http://cruisingamerica-halcyondays.com/towns.htm

over this three-year period.











#### **Buttons and Pearls**



The Cannon River mussel fauna was abundant and diverse enough to support a commercial shell industry into the 1920s (Davis, 1988). The mussel fauna in the Cannon drainage has decreased since European settlement due to human impacts.

#### **Mussel Surveys**

Three major mussel surveys have been conducted in the Cannon River Basin: the first in 1987 by Mike Davis of the Minnesota DNR; a second by Michael C. Swift of St. Olaf College and Gary E. Wagenbach of Carleton College in 1998 and finally the Minnesota DNR maintained a mussel monitoring station from 2008 to 2010.

#### **1987 Davis Survey**

A total of 1,344 live mussels representing 15 species were found at 61 sampling stations located across the Cannon River Watershed. Of special importance, Davis discovered a new live Minnesota mussel species – the *Actinonaias ellipsiformis* (Ellipse). They also saw evidence of massive distributional disruption and mussel species extirpations in several areas of the watershed drainage. Another discovery was a very large, densely populated mussel bed within the tailwaters of an old mill dam. Davis estimated the 27 meter by 14 meter bed contained 18,685 mussels.

#### **Future Surveys**

In 2011, the MN DNR will conduct a more comprehensive mussel survey for the Cannon River Watershed.



"Eleven species of mussels are still present in the Cannon River after post Euro-American settlement loss. Mussels filter water and eat small particles. Restoration of these water cleaning animals could be accomplished by modifying or removing dams that will enhance migration of fish that serve as necessary hosts for young mussels."

- Gary Wagenbach, Retired Carleton College Professor, Rice SWCD Supervisor, CRWP Board Member



# Dams

Dams were built all across Minnesota and nine dams are still in place on the Cannon River to harness power from rivers, control water elevation levels, or as historic mill sites. Along the Cannon River, five dams act as fish barriers - Morristown, King's Mill and Woolen Mill in Faribault, Ames Mill in Northfield, and Lake Byllesby; three dams are passible during high flows (Shields Lake, Gorman Lake, and Schmidtke's in Waterville); and one is passible year-round (Rice Lake Dam) (Carlson, 2004). One of the biggest concerns with dams is that they prevent fish movement upstream along with impacting fish breeding and colonizing of new areas. When dams limit fish migration there can be severe consequences to diversity and population numbers if a stretch of river experiences a fish kill. Mussels are also impacted by dams because they count on specific fish to serve as larvae hosts and for movement to other areas in a river.

In the last fifteen years, three dams have been removed on the Cannon and Straight Rivers. The dam at Welch was removed in 1994. See the restoration success story on page 22 for more information. In 2001, the Little Cannon River dam in Cannon Falls was removed. And in 2006, the Morehouse Dam on the Straight River in Owatonna was partially removed. In recent years there has been discussion in Northfield of removing the Ames Mill Dam, which would create a free flowing Cannon River from Faribault to Lake Byllesby.

Number of Nests

Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) populations in Minnesota have made a dramatic recovery since DDT was banned and they came under the protection of the federal Endangered Species Act in 1978.

The results of DNR's 2005 statewide bald eagle survey reflect a steady increase in Minnesota's bald eagle population over the past thirty years. The growth of the state's bald eagle population appears to be slowing, but remains at a healthy level.







Eagle in the Cannon River

Chart illustrating the number of known Bald Eagle nests in Minnesota, 1973-2005. Source: DNR, 2005



"In the thirty some years that I have been paddling the Straight and Cannon rivers, only in the last decade or so has the bald eagle become part of the landscape. I first discovered an eagle's nest on the Cannon north of Faribault in 2004 while kayaking. Although I don't know when it was originally built, I have witnessed 16 fledglings born in this nest since then. There is nothing finer than watching one of these eagles spot a fish swimming or floating near the surface of the water. As it drops



out of a tree, it approaches its prey in a shallow glide and snatches the fish out of the water with a quick swipe of its talons.

I believe that the fact that the eagle has made these gains in the watershed is due largely to the improvements in the water quality. Eagles sit at the top of the food chain, making them more vulnerable to toxic chemicals in the environment, since each link in the food chain tends to concentrate chemicals from the lower link. These majestic birds have made an exceptional comeback similar to the growth in numbers of several game fish such as the small mouth bass and the walleye." – Gary Mogren, Former CRWP Board Member, River Enthusiast

#### Waters that Don't Meet State Water Quality Standards - Impaired Waters

While most of the rivers and some of the lakes are getting clearer, there are still pollutants that are causing problems and are the focus of conservation efforts. Below is a map of the Cannon River watershed showing impaired waters. A water body is considered impaired if the water quality in the stream or lake does not meet one or more of Minnesota's water quality standards. Water quality standards are set on a wide range of pollutants. The primary pollutants of concern are turbidity (dirt), nutrients (phosphorus), and bacteria.





July 2008 (7-09-08)



August 2008 (8-07-08)



August 2008 (8-25-08) Cannon River downstream of Lake Dora

#### **Excessive Erosion & Sedimentation leads to Brown Rivers**

The transport of sediment is a natural function of rivers. Modification of the landscape has accelerated the rate of erosion of soil into waterways. Increased runoff has resulted in stream bank erosion.

Elevated sediment (suspended soil particles) has many impacts. It makes rivers look muddy, greatly reducing their appeal for people who enjoy boating, fishing, or swimming. Sediment carries nutrients, pesticides, and other chemicals into the river that impact fish and wildlife species. Fine-grained sediments that settle on streams beds cover desirable rock and gravel that form essential habitats for invertebrates and fish.

While page six highlights that we have made progress over the last twenty years reducing erosion of sediment (dirt) into the streams and rivers of the watershed, there is still much work to be done.

#### What is Turbidity?

Turbidity refers to how clear the water is. The greater the amount of sediment in the water, the murkier it appears and the higher the measured turbidity.



The confluence of Wolf Creek with the Cannon River August 2007



Agricultural erosion on Belle Creek May 2008



This photo illustrates the difference in water quality samples throughout the Cannon River watershed for a particular rain event (in 2002). For this event, the differences among the lobes and Cannon River are striking. The Straight River is very dark colored (turbid) while the Cannon River downstream of the Byllesby Dam was running almost clear.



T-tube on Straight River 8-13-10

#### Elevated Nutrients lead to Green Lakes and Rivers

Excessive amounts of nutrients, namely phosphorus, create a fairly constant nuisance algae presence in the majority of area lakes. Phosphorus-enriched streams and lakes are commonplace in the Cannon River Watershed.

#### What is Phosphorus?

Phosphorus is an important nutrient for plant growth. Elevated phosphorus levels stimulate algal growth and often lead to undesirable conditions. Excessive algae growth, death, and decay can severely deplete the oxygen supply in the river, endangering fish and other forms of aquatic life. Low dissolved oxygen concentrations can be a concern particularly during low-flow times or in slow-flowing areas. Large total phosphorus loads can have major impacts both locally and on

lakes the Cannon and Straight flow into, such as Lake Byllesby Byllesby Reservoir 2003 and Lake Pepin on the Mississippi River.



#### **Phosphorus Sources**

Point-source phosphorus comes mainly from municipal and industrial discharges to surface waters. Nonpoint-source phosphorus comes from runoff from agricultural lands, urban areas, construction sites, manure transported in runoff from feedlots and agricultural fields, and human waste from noncompliant septic systems.



Roberds Lake August 2004

#### Bacteria leads to Unsafe Swimming

#### What are *E. coli* Bacteria?

Escherichia coli (abbreviated as E. coli) are a large and diverse group of bacteria. E. coli is a type of fecal coliform bacteria which are associated with human or animal wastes. They are commonly found in the intestines of animals and humans.

The presence of indicator bacteria (*E.coli* and fecal coliform) indicate sewage or animal waste contamination and potential presence of disease-causing organisms. E. coli and Fecal Coliform bacteria are used as markers for water contamination. There are hundreds of strains of the bacterium *E. coli* and most strains are harmless and live in the intestines of healthy humans and animals. However, others can make you sick. Some kinds of E. coli can cause diarrhea, while others cause urinary tract infections, respiratory illness and pneumonia, and other illnesses.

#### Sources of E. coli

Fecal coliform and *E. coli* bacteria found in rivers and streams comes from human, livestock, pet, and wildlife waste. Bacteria can be directly transferred to surface waters from noncompliant septic systems, wastewater treatment plants and urban stormwater systems. Other sources include spills or runoff from feedlots or manure storage facilities, runoff from agricultural lands that receive manure applications, and direct deposition into waterways by wildlife or grazing animals.

#### Human HIstory - Native Americans

People began to inhabit the Cannon River Watershed after the most recent glaciers retreated (10-12,000 years ago). (Carlson, 2004) The Cannon River valley is one of Minnesota's most important archeological areas. Using information gathered from burial mounds and village sites, archeologists have pieced together a picture of life here in prehistoric times. By 1,000 A.D. the area near the junction of the Cannon and Mississippi rivers was a major center of Indian life. The Oneota Indian culture was probably the closest prehistoric Minnesota people came to an urban society. The Oneota lived in large villages on the river terraces, cleared and cultivated land in the river bottoms, hunted and fished in the river



Stiff gentian

#### Early Explorer's Accounts

French Explorer Joseph Nicollet traveled through the Cannon River in 1838 making observations. The following is an excerpt from his field notes. Sunday, September 16, 1838 . . . We ... crossed Cannon River... The river is about 60 yards wide, 3 feet water and has a very swift current . . . . After crossing we traversed a prairie with rough weeds... and some damp places the ... [– stiff gentian] was in the most beautiful state of perfection. Region of les bois francs (Big Woods) begins at the ford on the left bank of the Cannon River. (There is also) a mixture of prairie and clusters of hardwood trees [a savanna region].

Nicollet also traveled along the Straight River and noted: "Main fork [Straight River] 30 feet wide, clear and rapid, at the ford ½ foot deep. Cannon River 40 feet before the junction [with the Straight], clear and slow. Thirty-five feet after the junction, deep and slow" (Bray, 1976).



Cannon Falls 1880s



Cannon River at Welch (1923)

#### Euro-American Settlement

The Cannon River was originally named La Riviere aux Canots ("The River of the Canoes") by French fur trappers. In the 1800s Europeans set up fur trading stations. They hunted buffalo and beavers that lived in the area. Both were hunted to extinction in the area by 1880s. The name "Straight" River comes from the Dakota word "Owatonna" translated as "honest, morally straight."

As settlers moved into the area, they harvested timber and grew wheat and other crops. The wheat was ground to flour in mills along the river. By 1877 a wheat boom dominated the Cannon River Valley. Fifteen flour mills operated along 20 miles of the river between Faribault and Northfield. The mills were powered by hydropower, available to mill owners by damming the river (Carlson, 2004). By 1910 wheat production declines after repeated crop failures caused by soil exhaustion and insects, See timeline on following page for additional information.



#### Flooding in the Cannon River Watershed

A river's riparian zone or flood zone allows a river to naturally overflow its banks during winter snowmelt or intense rain storms. Normally, this doesn't present a problem except in times of extreme weather events combined with a human impacted floodplain that could involve structures, crop fields, roads, etc. The amount of impervious surface, man-made drainage systems and lack of natural water storage can also have a dramatic affect on the severity of flooding. To put it simply, a flood takes place when the capacity of the river channel exceeds its ability to hold all the water draining from a watershed. Flooding can also provide numerous benefits like recharging groundwater, settling out sediment and supporting wildlife habitat.

In the Cannon River Watershed, there have been significant past flooding events causing damage in communities along both the Cannon and Straight rivers. Flood events occurred on the Cannon River in March 1949, July 1951, June 1954, April 1965, April 1969 and March 1973. Prior to the 2010 flood, the largest flooding event took place in April of 1965 due to rapid spring snowmelt and heavy rains. According to hydro geologists, it was more than twice as large as any other flood that had been recorded on the Cannon River.

#### 2010 Flood

Over the two-day period of September 22-23, 2010, southern Minnesota was hit with a 100-year storm. Water levels on many southern Minnesota rivers and streams approached or exceeded all-time highs. Numerous communities experienced major



Cannon River Flood 1881



Northfield - Carleton College flooded field 2010



Owatonna flooding 2010

flooding including Owatonna, Faribault and Northfield. The Minnesota Climatology Working Group reported this event had some of the most significant "flash floods" in the state's climate history.

Historic Flooding: Cannon and Straight Rivers USGS Stage Height



#### Point Sources - We've come a long way

The historic accounts below show dramatic sources of pollution entering the Cannon River--dyes discoloring the water, sulfuric acid solution, canning waste, and turkey blood and parts.

# 1958 Memo from State of Minnesota Department of Conservation – Game and Fish **Cannon River at Faribault**

Repeated instances of pollution of the Cannon River at Faribault have been noted since 1956, despite the installation of a municipal sewage treatment plant. This pollution has made the river unfit for most fishes, particularly smallmouth bass. Occasionally, pollution gets so bad that oxygen depletion results and fish are killed. The major offenders have been the Faribault Canning Company and Faribo Turkeys. Other industries have occasionally made impossible adequate treatment of sewage at the municipal plant and pollution has resulted.

- Minnesota Mercury Inc. Manufacturer primarily of metal cabinets and parts for IBM • in Rochester, occasionally dumped 1,000 gallon lots of 10% sulfuric acid into sewer lines, resulting temporarily in partial deactivation of treatment facilities at the municipal plant.
- *Faribault Woolen Mills –* Wash water and dyes previously have caused trouble. The dyes • still discolor the treatment plant effluent occasionally, but not enough to be harmful.
- *Faribo Turkeys, Inc Turkey heads and offal (internal organs) are washed, drained, and* ٠ group up to be sold as mink food. Further treatment of blood is necessary and methods are under consideration for improvements. Earlier this year before installation of larger screens, the plant wastes were too much for the municipal plant to handle and had to be dumped *directly into the river.*
- **Faribault Canning Company** In 1957, the fermentation juices from a corn silage stack • located at the factory were flowing directly into the Cannon River. The result was oxygen depletion and grown of large masses of sewage fungus. Fish, including Walleyes, were killed and drifting sewage fungus was carried as far as Northfield.

# Pollution Reported in 1977 DNR Fishing Report

Source	Substance discharged	
Cannon Falls water	Municipal sewage	
Minnesota Malting Company	Untreated barley germination	
Mineral Springs Center	Domestic wastewater	
Welch	Domestic wastewater	

- The discharges from the Minnesota Malting Company into Cannon Fall's municipal ٠ wastewater system resulted the overload (BOD- 580% and Total Suspended Solids – 200%).
- *Industrial and municipal pollution has been a problem.*
- Stream Bank Erosion Sheet erosion was present in the agricultural areas of the valley bottom and uplands.

#### Bacteria

Bacteria levels in the 1970s were extremely high according to monitoring records from 1977. The MPCA Standard (for 2B river) set a limit of 200 fecal coliforms per 100 milliliters of water. In 1977, two monitoring stations were analyzed for fecal coliform. The mean coliform count at US Highway 61 was 2,096 per 100 milliliters and at Randolph was 8,513 per 100 milliliters. In the 1970s, many small towns without sewage treatment facilities often discarded sewage by dumping it directly into streams, rivers, and lakes (MDNR, 1979).

# **RESTORATION SUCCESS STORIES**



Straight pipe outlet from historic trench into Straight River.



Ice melt in Straight River from straight pipe outlet.

#### **Hope Sewer System**

For decades the small town of Hope (south of Owatonna) dumped raw sewage into the Straight River before completing a new sewer system in 2008 at a cost of nearly \$1 million. Residents of this community had been trying to update its sewer system since the 1970s.



The original sewer line from Hope to the Straight River was dug in the 1930s by a Works Progress Administration (WPA) crew. Men on this WPA crew dug the trench mostly by hand reaching seventeen feet deep. At the time this trench was considered progress for Hope since germs associated with raw sewage no longer caused sickness among the residents. Hope's sewage still goes to the Straight River, but now only after it is treated. Hope was assisted by CRWP's small community wastewater program. You can find information on current small community projects on www.crwp.net

# Lake Volney Shoreland Restoration

Lake Volney is a 268 acre lake near the town of Le Center. The Lake Volney Association has been working with the Le Sueur County Environmental Services office to improve the lake's water quality. One project involved a shoreline restoration at the Lake Volney County Park beach. The beach had a very long slope that was mowed from the road right up to the water's edge. Consequently, an eroding shoreline washed beach sand into the lake. Funds from MPCA and the County Park Department in 2009 were used to stabilize the shoreline with native plants. The beach was moved away from the water's edge to stabilize the bank and native plants were seeded in this area. Native plants with deep roots protect the shoreline from erosion unlike traditional lawn grass. This also provides great wildlife habitat. This restored shoreline at thecounty beach fills a gap between earlier native grass planting by the DNR, creating a long section of restored shoreline on the lake.



Before - Eroding Shoreline Lake Volney Shoreland



After - Native Grasses and Restored Shoreline Lake Volney Shoreland

#### **Trout Brook Watershed**

Trout Brook is a tributary to the Cannon River located in southeast Dakota County. Impaired for turbidity, the Trout Brook Watershed is made up Karst topography, steep landscapes, and mostly agricultural land. This type of topography leaves the watershed susceptible to flashy runoff and downstream gully erosion. A number of landowners on the downstream end approached the Dakota SWCD to see about fixing extreme gully erosion issues on their properties. The size and scope of onsite fixes proved to be cost-prohibitive and difficult to maintain without control of upstream land use and water runoff retention measures.

Dakota SWCD started the restoration process by breaking the watershed into 7 sub-watersheds to survey and assess upstream properties with significant gully erosion issues. Landowners were contacted collectively from each sub-watershed to review identified conservation practices and gauge their individual interest. Nearly all landowners agreed to install some type of runoff reduction practice on their property. A \$150,000 Clean Water Fund grant from Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) to the North Cannon River Watershed Management Organization (NCRWMO) provided technical assistance and cost-share for the



Trout Brook

installation of runoff reduction practices within the watershed in 2010 and 2011. Baseline water quality monitoring examining overall effectiveness of the installed conservation practices will be conducted in the Trout Brook Watershed by Dakota SWCD and NCRWMO.

#### Straight River Marsh Restoration

The Straight River Marsh area once covered almost 10,000 acres filled with native plants and functioned as a bountiful place for wildlife. Wildflowers and majestic oaks dotted the landscape. In 1915, the first ditch was dug through the marsh to allow farmers

to drain their land for pasture and crop production. Barges were brought in to dredge channels that ran along the routes of the two streams flowing through the marsh. Nearly a century later, this landscape is being returned back to its original condition. Landowners have signed 31 conservation easements covering 1,700 acres along with 600 publicly owned acres bringing the total of restored land to over 2,300 acres. This is almost 25% of the original marsh area.

#### Cannon River – Welch Dam Removal (1994)

Originally constructed in the 1890s to power an adjacent mill, the Welch Dam blocked the Cannon River (a Minnesota Wild and Scenic River) for over a century. The dam prevented fish migration, created water quality and sedimentation problems, and posed a safety hazard for paddlers along with being obsolete for approximately 30 years. In 1994, the Minnesota DNR removed the Welch Dam for \$46,000, saving an estimated \$75,000 from the projected removal estimate. Numerous fish species like muskellunge, flathead catfish, bowfin, longnose gar, mooneye









Cannon River at Welch



As a resident of the Cannon River Watershed there are many ways for you to get involved to help clean up the water and protect the natural resources. Below are organizations

you can get involved with and support.

# Cannon River Watershed Partnership

This nonprofit organization strives to engage people to protect and improve the water quality and natural systems of the Cannon River watershed. Volunteers are needed to help with the Annual River Clean-up, serve on the Board of Directors, assist with both stream and lake monitoring, help raise funds for the Cannon River Watershed Partnership, work in the office and promote the organization's various programs.

Contact: Leslie Kennedy, Volunteer Coordinator; 507-786-3915, leslie@crwp.net Website: http://www.crwp.net





# Minnesota Pollution Control Agency – Stream and Lake Monitoring Programs

Both of these programs provides the tools to help volunteers monitor a favorite stream or lake to help determine its condition, understand water quality issues and promote shared responsibility for the protection of Minnesota's water resources. As a stream monitor you will measure a number of parameters including transparency, appearance, recreational suitability, precipitation and stream stage. Those interested in lakes will collect water transparency data by using a Secchi disk.

Stream Contact: Laurie Sovell; 651-757-2750; http://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/csmp. html

Lake Contact: 651-296-6300; http://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/clmp.html

# **Minnesota Waters**

As a state-wide nonprofit organization, Minnesota Waters is dedicated to protecting and improving the health of the state's lakes and rivers. Minnesota Waters provides the training, connections and support to empower citizens to take action to save the state's lakes and rivers. Contact: 320-257-6630; http://www.minnesotawaters.org/

# **River Ramblers**

This organization is dedicated to leisurely paced river paddling by offering both quiet and whitewater trips. River Ramblers places emphasis on enjoying the great outdoors by encouraging both individual and family involvement. Normally each year there is a number of opportunities to paddle in the Cannon River Watershed. For more information go to www.river-ramblers.org



All images are by the Cannon River Watershed Partnership or Minnesota State Univeristy, Mankato Water Resources Center unless noted otherwise. Thank you to other agencies and people for permission to use photographs in this document.

Thank you for your assistance

Kris Backlund, MN DNR Mike Davis, MN DNR Elaine R. Feikema, MN DNR Scott Mackenthun, MN DNR Bernard Sietman, MN DNR Erik Wrede, MN DNR Laurie Sovell, MPCA Scott King Lauren Klement, Le Sueur County Gary Mogren Michael Swift Gary Wagenbach Cannon Falls Canoe and Bike Rental Welsh Mill Canoe and Tube Rental

#### **Trends**

#### **Rivers and Lakes**

Cannon River Watershed Partnership. January 1996. Cannon River Watershed Plan.

- Cannon River Watershed Partnership. March 1998. Research and Monitoring in the Cannon River Watershed: A compilation of abstracts on surface water and related resource studies.
- Carlson, Britt, Jeff Jasperson, Tonya Kjerland, and Nathan Smits: St, Olaf College. January 28, 2004. The Cannon River: An overview of the physical characteristics and management of the watershed.
- Haileab, Bereket et al. Winter 2006. Wintertime Cation and Conductivity Analysis of the Cannon River. Carleton College Environmental Geology Winter 2006. MDNR Wild and Scenic Rivers Program. April 1979. Cannon River Resource Analysis

MN DNR Rivers Section and Bureau of Engineering. April, 1979. Cannon River Resource Analysis.

MN DNR. December 1997. Water Quality Characteristics in Navigation Pool 4 of the Mississippi River, 1990. Long Term Resource Monitoring Program Special Report 97-S002.

MPCA Citizen Stream Monitoring Program. 2010. Personal Communication. Stream Transparency Trends. MPCA Citizen Lake Monitoring Program. 2010. Personal Communication. Lake Transparency Trends. MPCA Citizen Lake Monitoring Program. Spring 2009. 2008 award-winning CLMP volunteers. Secchi Reader. Met Council Environmental Services. 2001. Cannon River Water Quality Monitoring Station at Welch. NRCS. 2007. Rapid Watershed Assessment Cannon River Watershed.

Savina, Mary (Carleton College), Thomas Gardner (Trinity University), Bereket Haileab (Carleton College). Geomorphology and Watershed Studies of the Cannon River and Its Tributaries: Wolf Creek, Rice Creek, and Heath Creek.

#### Fish

Carlson, Britt, Jeff Jasperson, Tonya Kjerland and Nathan Smits. January 28, 2004. The Cannon River: An overview of the physical characteristics and management of the watershed. St. Olaf College.

Haggerty, Ken. September 2, 2010. DNR fish count shows diverse Cannon River. The Cannon Falls Beacon MN DNR. Last accessed September, 2010. Cannon Lake Fish Survey. http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/ showreport.html?downum=66000800

MN DNR. Numerous Dates 1960-2010. Fish surveys in the Cannon River Watershed.

#### Mussels

Davis, Mike. 1988. Freshwater Mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Unionidae) of the Cannon River Drainage in Southeastern Minnesota. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.

 Sietman, Bernard. 2003. Field Guide to the Freshwater Mussels of Minnesota. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
Swift, Michael C. and Gary E. Wagenbach. February 4, 1999. A survey of Mussel Faunas in the Cannon River and Superior National Forest. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

MN DNR. Last accessed September, 2010. Minnesota Statewide Mussel Survey. http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/eco/nhnrp/mussel\_survey/index.html

#### Eagles

- Digest of Federal Resource Laws of Interest to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Last assessed October, 2010. Endangered Species Act of 1973. http://www.fws.gov/laws/lawsdigest/esact.html
- Holdsworth, Andy, Bonnie Sample, Peggy Savanick, Dan Tix, and Mike Rentz Hotspots to Biological Diversity in Southeaster Minnesota: A Call to Conservation Biologists. Powerpoint Presentation by Biodiversity Area Review Team, MN SCB Conservation Committee.
- MN DNR. 2000. Minnesota Bald Eagle Survey.

MN DNR. 2005. Minnesota Bald Eagle Survey.

#### History

Anderson, Roy. 1997. The saga of the "not-so" Straight River. Steele County Historical Society.

Baier, Elizabeth. September 23, 2010. Residents, officials fight flooding in Owatonna. Minnesota Public Radio

- Bray, Edmund and Martha Coleman Bray, editors. 1976. Joseph N Nicollet on the Plains and Prairies: The Expeditions of 1838-39 with Journals, Letters, and Notes on the Dakota Indians. Minnesota Historical Society.
- Cannon River Watershed Partnership Website http://www.crwp.net/
- Cannon River Watershed Partnership. January 1996. Cannon River Watershed Plan.
- Cannon River Watershed Partnership. March 1998. Research and Monitoring in the Cannon River Watershed: A compilation of abstracts on surface water and related resource studies. Carlson, Britt; Jeff Jasperson; Tonya Kjerland and Nathan Smits. January 28, 2004. The Cannon River and related resource of the physical characteristics and respectively of the supervised of Callson.
- 28, 2004. The Cannon River An overview of the physical characteristics and management of the watershed. St. Olaf College. Flood Insurance Study Rice County, Minnesota and Incorporated Areas; Federal Emergency Management Agency; ftp://ftp.dnr.
- state.mn.us/pub/waters/floodplain/County\_data/Rice/27131\_DFIRM\_Preliminary/FIS/27131CV000A.pdf
- Hillsdale County Community Center http://www.hillsdalecounty.info/planningeduc0010.asp
- Center for Earth and Environmental Science; Indian University Purdue University, Indianapolis http://www.cees.iupui.edu/education/Information\_Resources/floodplains.htm
- Mcauliffe, Bill and Chris Havens. September 29, 2010. Fall flooding: Fishy and bizarre mark flood aftermath. Minneapolis Star Tribune. Minnesota Climatology Working Group. Heavy Rainfall – September 22-23, 2010. State Climatology Office. http://climate.umn.edu/ doc/journal/flash\_floods/ff100924.htm
- MN DNR Rivers Section and Bureau of Engineering. April, 1979. Cannon River Resource Analysis.
- Nelson, Stephen. November 10, 2010. River Systems & Causes of Flooding. Tulane University. http://www.tulane.edu/~sanelson/geol204/riversystems.htm

#### **Restoration Success Stories**

American Rivers. December 1999. Dam Removal Success Stories: Restoring Rivers Through Selective Removal of Dams That Don't Make Sense.